

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1976

No. 76-736

Service of the within and receipt of a copy
thereof is hereby admitted this day
of December, A.D. 1976.

LA MIRADA TRUCKING, INC., Etc., *et al.*,

Petitioners,

vs.

TEAMSTERS LOCAL UNION 166, Etc., *et al.*,

Respondents.

**Respondent Teamsters Local Union 166's Brief in
Opposition to the Granting of Certiorari.**

JULIUS REICH, A Member of
REICH, ADELL & CROST,
A Professional Law Corporation,
1411 West Olympic Boulevard,
Suite 301,
Los Angeles, Calif. 90015,
(213) 386-3860,

*Attorneys for Respondent
Teamsters Local Union 166.*

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1976
No. 76-736

LA MIRADA TRUCKING, INC., Etc., *et al.*,
Petitioners,
vs.

TEAMSTERS LOCAL UNION 166, Etc., *et al.*,
Respondents.

**Respondent Teamsters Local Union 166's Brief in
Opposition to the Granting of Certiorari.**

Respondent Teamsters Local Union 166 opposes the petition for a writ of certiorari, on the ground that neither the issues nor the facts make this an appropriate case for the granting of a writ.

The reasons advanced by the petitioners in support of the proposition that an important question of law is presented, are not supported by citations to the record.¹ And for good reason. There is no evidence in the record to support the petitioners' arguments.

In truth, this case simply revolves around how one arbitrator applied the facts before him, to contract language which is not itself under attack. Parenthetically, since the parties had stipulated to a bifurcated

¹See Rules of the Supreme Court No. 40(2), requiring references to the record.

trial on liability and damages, the case is not yet even complete. *See* Petition for Writ of Certiorari at 6-7.

If certiorari is granted, the Court would be reviewing the peculiar facts of this case, and not, as petitioners suggest, the general application of the parties' contract language. Even if the questions presented were important, the Court would not have an opportunity to get to those questions on this limited record.

For these reasons, the granting of certiorari is inappropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

JULIUS REICH, A Member of
REICH, ADELL & CROST,
A Professional Law Corporation,

By JULIUS REICH,

*Attorneys for Respondent
Teamsters Local Union 166.*